


UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA
RENO, NEVADA

COMPOSITE RESOURCES, INC.,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
RECON MEDICAL, LLC,)
)
Defendant.)
_____)

CASE NO. 2:17-cv-01755-MMD-VCF

VERDICT



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

COMPOSITE RESOURCES INC,

Case No. 2:17-cv-01755-MMD-VCF

Plaintiff,

VERDICT FORM

v.

RECON MEDICAL LLC,

Defendant.

We, the jury in the above-titled action, find the following special verdict on the Questions submitted to us:

QUESTION NO. 1: Has Plaintiff Composite Resources proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the Recon GEN 1 (also referred to as Version 1) tourniquet has infringed any of Plaintiff's patents?

Yes X

No _____

QUESTION NO. 2: Has Plaintiff Composite Resources proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the Recon GEN 2 (also referred to as Version 1) tourniquet has infringed any of Plaintiff's patents?

Yes X

No _____

QUESTION NO. 3: Has Plaintiff Composite Resources proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the Recon GEN 3 (also referred to as Version 2) tourniquet has infringed any of Plaintiff's patents?

Yes X

No _____

QUESTION NO. 4: Has Plaintiff Composite Resources proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the Recon GEN 4 tourniquet has infringed any of Plaintiff's patents?

Yes X

No _____

DATED THIS 2 Day of December 2021.

JURY FOREPERSON